



BARBARA FERRER, Ph.D., M.P.H., M.Ed.
Director

MUNTU DAVIS, M.D., M.P.H.
County Health Officer

313 North Figueroa Street, Room 806
Los Angeles, California 90012
TEL (213) 288-8117 • FAX (213) 975-1273

www.publichealth.lacounty.gov

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Hilda L. Solis
First District

Mark Ridley-Thomas
Second District

Sheila Kuehl
Third District

Janice Hahn
Fourth District

Kathryn Barger
Fifth District

January 10, 2020

**ADDENDUM NUMBER 2
TO
REQUEST FOR STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
FOR
AS-NEEDED SUBSTANCE USE PREVENTION SERVICES RFSQ NO. 2019-010**

On November 19, 2019, the County of Los Angeles (County) Department of Public Health (DPH) released a Request for Statement of Qualifications (RFSQ) for As-Needed Substance Use Prevention Services.

As indicated in the RFSQ Section 2.0, Instructions to Vendors, subsection 2.5, Vendors' Questions, questions received by the date and time indicated in subsection 2.3, RFSQ Timetable, will be compiled with the appropriate answers and issued as an addendum to the RFSQ and that County reserves the right to group similar questions when providing answers. This Addendum Number 2 provides responses to the questions received.

GENERAL QUESTIONS:

Q1. Is this master agreement and work order something we can qualify for prior to the receipt of the DMC certification?

A1. This RFSQ is soliciting for substance use prevention services only. Vendors are not required to be Drug Medi-Cal certified.

Q2. With regard to the RFSQ 2019-010. I am wondering if the RFSQ 2019-10 replaces our current RFSQ ? Please advice.

A2. The intent of this RFSQ is to establish a pool of qualified organizations to enter

into Master Agreements to provide substance use prevention services. Currently, DPH does not have Master Agreements for substance use prevention services. At this time, there are contracts in place for Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention Services (AODPS) that are anticipated to end June 30, 2020.

Pursuant to Section 1.0, General Information, subsection 1.4, Vendor's Minimum Mandatory Qualifications, interested and qualified vendors that meet all the Minimum Mandatory Qualifications are highly encouraged to submit an SOQ to qualify in one or more of the seven service categories identified in this RFSQ. This includes contractors that have current AODPS contracts.

Q3. Is this RFSQ intended to replace current EPS, CPS and APS funding (SAPC)?

A3. Yes. See response A2 and A20-A22.

Q4. Do current SAPC EPS/CPS contractors with Master Agreements need to submit SOQs for service categories in which they already provide services under a Master Agreement or just for new service categories?

A4. See response A2 and A3.

Q5 through Q7 have been grouped and answer is provided at A5-A7

Q5. Does DPH have a record of whether or not Agency XXX currently has a Master Agreement for Substance Use Prevention Services?

Q6. Can you check if Agency XXX, currently have a Master Agreement? If so, how long is our MA valid until?

Q7. Are interested agencies required to have a master Agreement? If so, can you email me a master Agreement RFP?

A5-A7.

No. See response A2.

Q8. Are we eligible to apply even if we do not have a Master Agreement?

A8. Yes. See response A2.

SECTION 1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION:

Subsection 1.4, Vendor's Minimum Mandatory Qualifications:

Q9. Section 1.4 Vendors Minimum Mandatory Qualifications, pg. 15 under General Information – It states that “vendor must have three (3) years of experience within the last seven (7) years providing substance abuse prevention in each category for which they are attempting to qualify...” Can you please provide a clarification on the term “year.” Does this mean a fiscal year or a calendar year. A follow-up question to that, is do these years have to be consecutive or can they be non-continuous?

A9. The years of experience are not specific to fiscal year (FY) or calendar year and do not need to be consecutive years.

Q10. I want to know if we have over 10 years treatment experience with SAPC and just 2 years prevention experience with Department of mental health will that qualify under this RSFQ.

A10. No. Pursuant to RFSQ subsection 1.4, Vendor’s Minimum Mandatory Qualifications, vendor must have three (3) years of experience within the last seven (7) years providing substance use prevention services in each category for which they are attempting to qualify. Additionally, pursuant to subsection 1.4.2, a vendor must have three (3) years of experience within the last seven (7) years implementing behavioral health-related prevention services.

Q11 through Q15 have been grouped and answer is provided at A11-A15

Q11. RFSQ Section 1.4, Vendor’s Minimum Mandatory Qualifications, Subsection 1.4.1.3, Category 3 – Provider Education – Would a provider of professional development training for educators and law enforcement in the areas of adolescent brain development, impacts of trauma on behavior, de-escalation of conflict, and application of restorative justice practices not specifically focused on substance abuse, but focused on improving the effectiveness of response among adults in authority and their recognition of appropriate referral for supportive services be eligible to serve as a Category 3 provider?

Q12. RFSQ Section 1.4, Vendor’s Minimum Mandatory Qualifications, Subsection 1.4.1.7, Category 7 – Risk Reduction Initiatives - Would a provider of youth intervention and diversion services not specifically focused on substance abuse, but focused on validated assessment, individualized case planning, facilitated linkages to identified needed supportive services (including substance abuse treatment, educational advocacy, mental health, basic needs, youth development opportunities and/or other services), including case management for youth with intensive needs, be considered as an eligible candidate to serve as a Category 7 provider?

- Q13. RFSQ Section 1.4, Vendor’s Minimum Mandatory Qualifications, Subsection 1.4.2, Additional Qualifications – For 1.4.1.3 Category 3 – Provider Education: Would a provider of professional development training for educators and law enforcement in the areas of adolescent brain development, impacts of trauma on behavior, de-escalation of conflict, and application of restorative justice practices not specifically focused on substance abuse, but focused on improving the effectiveness of response among adults in authority and their recognition of appropriate referral for supportive services be eligible to serve as a Category 3 provider?**
- Q14. RFSQ Section 1.4, Vendor’s Minimum Mandatory Qualifications, Subsection 1.4.2, Additional Qualifications – For 1.4.1.7 Category 7 – Risk Reduction Initiatives: Would a provider of youth intervention and diversion services not specifically focused on substance abuse, but focused on validated assessment, individualized case planning, facilitated linkages to identified needed supportive services (including substance abuse treatment, educational advocacy, mental health, basic needs, youth development opportunities and/or other services), including case management for youth with intensive needs, be considered as an eligible candidate to serve as a Category 7 provider?**
- Q15. Assuming we are able to respond, it seems we would not meet the Minimum Mandatory Qualifications. For example, under Category 6, Policy Advocacy for Environmental Change, we have the experience and legal expertise to assist community members develop and participate in policy advocacy, however, the focus has not been AOD. Does the legal experience “count?”**

A11-A15.

See response A2 and A29.

- Q16. RFSQ Section 1.4, Vendor’s Minimum Qualifications - In regards to the note, "The minimum mandatory qualifications may not be met through any collaboration or a subcontract relationship between two (2) or more organizations," does this include our partnerships with other agencies within SAPC and coalitions?**

A16. Yes.

Q17 through Q19 have been grouped and answer is provided at A17-A19

- Q17. Also, our agency is a sole prop company, can we still apply for this prevention contract?**

Q18. Page 15 outlines that an applicant must be a 501c3. Will LA County consider any other designations (certified B Corp) or does this procurement opportunity require that applicants are registered as 501c3's?

Q19. Can a nonprofit legal services agency qualify under this RFSQ?

A17-A19.

Pursuant to RFSQ, subsection 1.4, Minimum Mandatory Qualifications, item 1.4.3 has been revised in Addendum Number 1 to state the following:

“1.4.3 Vendor must be either 1) tax-exempt, registered non-profit organization qualified under Internal Revenue Service’s Code (IRS) – Section 501(c)(3) and must submit a copy of its IRS 501(c)(3) Determination Letter, or 2) public entity or public agency.”

Subsection 1.6, Master Agreement/Work Order Solicitation (WOS) Process:

Q20 through Q22 have been grouped and answer is provided at A20-A22

Q20. I have an additional question, when will agencies be notified of funding awarded? Is there a maximum funding allocation per agency?

Q21. Is there a minimum or maximum grant amount? Or average?

Q22. Are we required to submit a SOQ in order to be qualified to apply for SU prevention grants under DPH?

A20-A22.

Please refer to RFSQ subsections 1.6.1 and 1.6.2.

Q23. Statements of Experience. Instructions to Vendors p. 41-2. In the future Work Orders the different types of services will be combined into groups. Can you state which types of services will be grouped together into one Work Order?

A23. No. The different types of services that may be combined into groups have not been determined yet. Please also see response A20-A22 and A24.

Q24. A WOS is issued to qualified vendors, soliciting proposals. Are WOSs ever for legal assistance?

A24. WOS are for one or more of the seven categories, as described in RFSQ subsection 1.4.1.

Subsection 1.10, Mandatory Requirement to Register on County's WebVen:

Q25. I have been trying for about 2 years to change the name and address of the business registered as a vendor. The information is actually incorrect at this time. How can I finally do so? Any help would be very appreciated.

A25. Pursuant to RFSQ subsection 1.10, Mandatory Requirement to Register on County's WebVen, prior to executing a Master Agreement, all potential contractors must register in the County's WebVen. The WebVen contains the vendor's business profile and identifies the goods/services the business provides. Registration can be accomplished online via the Internet by accessing the County's home page at <http://camisvr.co.la.ca.us/webven/>. For additional questions, please contact the Los Angeles County's Internal Services Department's (ISD) Vendor Relations Unit.

Subsection 1.45, Bidder Protection of Electronic Information:

Q26. Sub-Section 1.45.2.1 Stored Data which is located in Section 1.45.2 Encryption Standards, pg. 36 states that contractors' and subcontractors' workstations and portable devices require encryption then it proceeds to provide in which matter. My question is if the vendor does not meet the encryption requirement stated in the RFSQ are we automatically disqualified?

A26. The Master Agreement will not be executed unless all terms and conditions are agreed to. Furthermore, subsection 2.9, Acceptance of Terms and Conditions of Master Agreement, states that vendors understand and agree that submission of the SOQ constitutes acknowledgement and acceptance of, and a willingness to comply with, all terms and conditions of the Appendix J – Sample Master Agreement. Please refer to this section for further details.

For details on Los Angeles County's encryption practices, please refer to the Board of Supervisors' Policy 5.200, Contractor Protection of Electronic County Information.

SECTION 2.0 INSTRUCTIONS TO VENDORS:

Subsection 2.3, RFSQ Timetable:

Q27. Is there a deadline to ask clarifying questions?

A27. RFSQ, subsection 2.3, RFSQ Timetable has been revised in Addendum 1 as follows:

“2.3 RFSQ Timetable

The timetable for this RFSQ is as follows:

- Release of RFSQ..... 11/19/2019
- Request for a Solicitation Requirements Review Due.....12/05/19 **12/17/2019**
- Written Questions Due by 4:00 PM (Pacific Time)..... 12/05/19 **12/17/2019**
- Questions and Answers Released..... 12/19/19 **01/10/2020**
- *SOQ due by 4:00 PM (Pacific Time) 01/07/20 **01/21/2020**

*SOQs that are submitted after the initial due date and time indicated above shall be considered for review at the convenience of the County. Please note the County may at any time issue an addendum as described in Section 1.8 to close this RFSQ.”

Subsection, 2.7 Preparation and Format of the SOQ:

Q28. RFSQ Section 2.7, Preparation and Format of the SOQ, Item 3 – SOQs must be typewritten, single spaced, with no less than a 11-point font on 8½” by 11” paper Page #41. Is there a preferred font, such as Arial or Times New Roman?

A28. No.

Subsection, 2.7.2, Vendor’s Qualifications:

Q29. Traditionally, we describe the project we would like to fund, including staffing and outcomes, etc. I do not see an opportunity to do that here. Is that correct?

A29. Please see response A20-A22.

Q30. The Statement of Experience is the only narrative section of the response, is that correct?

A30. Yes.

Q31 through Q32 have been grouped and answer is provided at A31-A32

Q31. Also in regards to the Vendor’s Minimum Mandatory Qualifications, does the vendor have to provide an introduction of itself for each category’s SOE or can the SOE’s be sub sequential?

Q32. Statements of Experience. Instructions to Vendors p. 41. Are the statements of experience to be only based on specific types of

prevention contracts, where these types of services were offered under contract with the county and listed in a contract's Scope of Work?

A31-A32.

Please refer to RFSQ subsection 2.7.2, Vendor's Qualifications, item A, Vendor's Background and Experience, number 3) provide a separate SOE for each service category that includes sufficient detail to demonstrate the ability of the company, agency, or firm to carry out substance use prevention services as described in this RFSQ. The SOE shall include a summary of relevant background information to demonstrate that the vendor meets the minimum mandatory qualifications, including years of experience, stated in Section 1.4, Vendor's Minimum Mandatory Qualifications, Sub-sections 1.4.1.1 – 1.4.1.7, of this RFSQ. Do not merely attest your company, agency, or firm will comply or restate the requirements. The SOE for each desired category **must not** exceed three (3) pages.

Q33. Statements of Experience. Instructions to Vendors p. 41-2. Can the statement of experience for a given category include references to work performed in the community without a specific government contract funding for these types of services?

A33. Yes.

Q34. RFSQ Subsection 2.7.2, Vendor's Qualifications, Item A, Vendor's Organizational Structure - Question in reference to Section 2.72 (Section A), #4, Non-Profit Organization Status. My agency is not a non-profit organization. We currently possess two prevention services contracts and have implemented these project since 2011. Per RFSQ 2019-010 my agency appears to now be ineligible to apply for funding. Will there be another RFSQ for government and education agencies released in order to request funding to provide substance use prevention services?

A34. See response A17-A19 and A20-A22.

Q35. Non-Profit Organization. Instructions for Vendors P. 42: Does the "Certificate of Good Standing" have to be approved/certified by the state, or is a printout letter from the website satisfactory?

A35. Pursuant to RFSQ subsection 2.7.2, Vendor's Qualifications, item A, number 4.1, vendor must submit a copy of a "Certificate of Good Standing" with the state of incorporation/organization or provide a copy of a statement on status of the request.

Q36 through Q40 have been grouped and answer is provided at A36-A40

- Q36. RFSQ Section 2.7, Preparation and Format of the SOQ, Subsection 2.7.2, Vendor's Qualifications, B. Vendor's References (Section A.2) – In section (a.), "Vendor must provide five (5) references where the same or similar scope of services were provided, "does this indicate that we may also use agencies or other prevention providers from SAPC or DPH?**
- Q37. References. Instructions for Vendors P. 43: Can a provider submit the name of a SAPC staff overseeing the current contract as a reference?**
- Q38. This is another question regarding references. Our organization has a Work Source program and GRYD program (Mayor's Office Gang Reduction and Youth Development). Both programs operate independently, and they also work closely with our preventions services. Would it be allowable to list these programs as references?**
- Q39. References. Instructions for Vendors P. 43: Do all references have to be contracting agencies, or can they be offered by collaborating partners, e.g. school principals?**
- Q40. Additionally, it is stated that the contact person should be able to answer contractual questions leaving only County employees to do so, but wouldn't that be a conflict of interest since it is also stated that "if it is discovered that a vendor contacted and received information from any County personnel, other than the person specified above, regarding this solicitation, County, in its sole determination, may disqualify their SOQ from further consideration?" (General Information, pg. 19, 1.9 Contact with County Personnel).**

A36-A40.

Pursuant to RFSQ subsection 2.7.2, Vendor's Qualifications, item B, Vendor's References, number a) Prospective Contractor References, Exhibit 7, vendor must provide five (5) references where the same or similar scope of services were provided. Vendors do not have to have contracts with their references, however, vendors that have contracts or have contracted with DPH can list County personnel, including SAPC personnel, for reference purposes only.

Pursuant to RFSQ subsection 1.9, Contact with County Personnel, any contact regarding this RFSQ or any matter relating thereto must be in writing and may be mailed or emailed to the contact person listed.

Q41. Vendor's Pending Litigation. Instructions for Vendors P. 43: Can the names of plaintiffs be redacted, so it is the first name and last initial on the list of litigation, e.g. Adam S. for Adam Smith?

A41. No. Pursuant to RFSQ subsection 2.7.2, Vendor's Qualifications, item C, Vendor's Pending Litigation and Judgments, vendor shall complete and submit Exhibit 17 in Appendix A – Required Forms, Prospective Contractor Pending Litigation and Judgments, and **identify by name, case and court jurisdiction and pending litigation** in which vendor is involved, or judgements against vendor in the past five (5) years.

Q42 through Q44 have been grouped and answer is provided at A42-A44

Q42. In page 43 point D: Vendor's Financial Viability (Section A.4) establishes that vendor has to present annual financial statements for the last 3 years. For year 18-19 we don't got yet our audited financials which are being currently audited by our external auditors. It is ok to present internal financial statements for the last Fiscal year, and for the previous years Audited Financials; or only Audited financials can be accepted?

Q43. Vendor's Financial Viability. Instructions for Vendors P. 43-4: May three Audited Financial Statements be submitted from three consecutive years, if last FY year's statements are not yet ready? E.g. years FY 2015-16, FY 2016-17, and FY 2017-18, instead of FY 2018-19 which is not yet available?

Q44. Vendor's Financial Viability. Instructions for Vendors P. 44: Are the required categories of Balance Sheet Accounts and Income Statement Accounts required to be submitted for the most recent Fiscal Year, 2018-2019, ending on June 30, 2019?

A42-A44.

Pursuant to subsection 2.7.2, Vendor's Qualifications, item D, Vendor's Pending Litigation and Judgements, vendor shall provide copies of the company's annual financial statements **issued** for the last three (3) years, which may include FY 2015-2016, 2016-2017 and 2017-2018.

Q45. Vendor's Financial Viability. Instructions for Vendors P. 44: To keep the financial pages confidential, is it sufficient to stamp every page of financial documents "Confidential" - or is it also required to submit a list of "confidential" pages in the RFSQ?

A45. Pursuant to subsection 2.7.2, Vendor's Qualifications, item D, vendor's Financial Viability, financial statements will be kept confidential if so stamped on each page.

Subsection 2.8, SOQ Submission:

Q46. Is an electronic version of the SOQ submission, on USB drive or CD-ROM, required to be submitted as part of this SOQ submission, or with the Abbreviated SOQ? If so, should it be placed in the front inside pocket of the binder marked “Original”?

A46. No. Pursuant to Section 2.8, SOQ Submission, the original SOQ and three (3) numbered copies shall be enclosed in a sealed envelope or box, plainly marked in the upper left-hand corner with the name and address of the vendor and bear the words: “SOQ FOR AS-NEEDED SUBSTANCE USE PREVENTION SERVICES”. The SOQ must be hand-delivered or sent by a delivery service (excluding United States Postal Service) and received by the deadline specified in Section 1.0 General Information, subsection 2.3, RFSQ Timetable to the person identified in this section.

3.0 SOQ REVIEW/SELECTION/QUALIFICATION PROCESS:

Subsection 3.4, Master Agreement Award:

Q47. First, I am new to the position therefore want to assure that I understand completely all that is being asked for the RFSQ. Currently what is most unclear to me is the process of the SOQ. It is my understanding that if our agency meets all requirements stated in the SOQ then our agency will be “selected” for the Master Agreement, correct? Or are there other steps following the submission of the SOQ? (SOQ Review/Selection Qualification Process, 3.4 Master Agreement Award, Pg. 50)

A47. Yes. See response A20-A22.

SECTION 4.0 – MASTER AGREEMENT CONTRACTORS APPLYING FOR ADDITIONAL AS-NEEDED SUBSTANCE USE PREVENTION SERVICES CATEGORY(IES):

Q48. RFSQ Section 4.0 Master Agreement Contractors Applying for Additional As-Needed Substance Use Prevention Services Category(ies), Subsection 4.1 Preparation and Format of the Additional Category(ies) Abbreviated SOQ - Is the Abbreviated SOQ, if submitted, supposed to be submitted after this SOQ response that is due on January 7, 2020? In other words, is the Abbreviated SOQ, if submitted, a later “addon” to this SOQ response that is due on January 7, 2020?

A48. Pursuant to RFSQ subsection 3.4, Master Agreement Award, DPH will continuously accept SOQs throughout the Master Agreement term to qualify

additional vendors. Master Agreement Contractors may submit an abbreviated SOQ to qualify for additional As-Needed Substance Use Prevention Services category(ies) any time after the initial deadline. Section 4.0, Master Agreement Contractors Applying for Additional As-Needed Substance Use Prevention Services Category(ies), of this RFSQ, provides instructions on the format, required documents, and other information to prepare the abbreviated SOQ.

APPENDIX D – REQUIRED FORMS:

Q49 through Q52 have been grouped and answer is provided at A49-A52

- Q49. Lastly, in regards to the number of contractor references (Appendix A – Exhibit 7); the RFSQ mentions 5 does that mean should we have no less than 5 references?**
- Q50. In Appendix A.1 Required Forms Exhibit 7.1. Prospective Contractor References, can we include DPH-SAPC (and the current liaison information) as a reference, since our agency has been a Prevention Services provider with SAPC for several years?**
- Q51. RFSQ Subsection 2.7.3, Required Forms (Section B) - I am writing to submit a question about the above-reference RFSQ and specifically Exhibit 7 – Contractor References – which states “List five (5) References where the same or similar scope of services were provided.” Can we include a representative from LA County DPH among the five references?**
- Q52. We would like to ask for clarification on which entities we can use as references. On page 43, Section 2.7.2, B. Vendor's References (Section A.2), it states "The same references may be listed on Exhibit 7 and 8 as set forth in Appendix A- Required Forms." Would it be allowable to list TCPP and SAPC as references?**

A49-A52.

Please see response A36-A40.

Q53. Are we supposed to complete all the forms in the “SAMPLE” Master Agreement template, beginning on pg 100 and forward?

A53. No. At the time of the SOQ submission, only fill out Appendix A – Required Forms. The Sample Master Agreement Appendix J will be completed at the time of the Master Agreement Award.

Q54 through Q55 have been grouped and answer is provided at A54-A55

Q54. Do we need to *only* fill out and turn in the forms and information contained in Addendum Number 1 or a combination of forms from the Addendum and the original RFSQ.

Q55. Addendum 1 dated December 11, 2019, included revised exhibits 1, 2, and 2.1. However, Exhibit 2.1 was not a part of the original RFSQ. Is Exhibit 2.1 intended to be a replacement form for Public Entities/Agencies to complete instead of completing Exhibit 2? Or are both Exhibits 2 and 2.1 required by all prospective vendors?

A54-A55.

Vendor will need to complete forms provided in the original RFSQ replacing only the applicable forms that were “Revised” in Addendum 1 (Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2).

If vendor is interested in applying for additional As-Needed Substance Use Prevention Services after the SOQ deadline, they are to refer to RFSQ Section 4.0, Master Agreement Contractors Applying for Additional-As-Needed Substance Use Prevention Services category(ies) and Exhibit 2.1 (Revised).

Q56. Are we supposed to fill out ALL the fillable forms in this entire document?

A56. Yes. Please see response A53 and A54-A55.

Q57. Required Forms. Instructions for Vendors P. 48: Should the required forms listed as Exhibit 1,2, 7, 8, 9, and 17 be included only in the listed section (A.1., A.2, or A.3) or should these forms be also copied in section B, Required Forms and included twice?

A57. Please refer to form listing in RFSQ subsection 2.7.3, Required Forms (Section B). Pursuant to this section, the SOQ shall include the completed, signed, and dated forms as identified in Appendix A – Required Forms, except for those specifically identified in parenthesis. Forms that are not applicable should be marked as such.

Q58. In Appendix A.1 – Required Forms – Exhibit 1.1 there is a blank space to report the DPH Master Agreement Number. Do we leave it blank or we report the number of our last Master Agreement with SAPC dated in 2013?

A58. Pursuant to RFSQ subsection 3.4, Master Agreement Award, DPH will execute Board of Supervisors-authorized Master Agreements with each selected vendor. All vendors will be informed of the final selections and a master agreement contract number will be provided then. At that point, vendor may fill in the new Master Agreement Contract Number.

Q59. If I can also please get clarification on a couple of other things. Our agency also serves as a treatment center therefore when asking for contractor list of contracts (Appendix A, Exhibit 8), and contractor list of terminated contracts (Appendix A – Exhibit 9) are these referring strictly to Prevention or should I include clinical?

A59. Please include all contracts and agreements regardless of specific services. Pursuant to RFSQ subsection 2.7.2, Vendor's Qualifications, item B, Vendor's References, number b) Prospective Contractor List of Contracts, Exhibit 8, the listing must include all Public Entity contracts for the last three (3) years. A photocopy of this form may be used if more space is necessary and number c) Prospective Contractor List of Terminated Contracts, Exhibit 9, listing must include contracts terminated within the past three (3) years with a reason for termination.

Q60. Exhibit 9 asks for all terminated contracts for the last 3 years. As a public agency, the Los Angeles County Office of Education enters into thousands of contracts each year, many of which terminate naturally (sunset/expire) within the same year. Do you only want a list of contracts terminated for cause? Or do you want a comprehensive list (likely thousands for LACOE) of contracts included in this form that also includes those that terminated according to the stated term of the contract?

A60. Pursuant to RFSQ subsection 2.7.2, Vendor's Qualifications, item C, vendors shall list all contracts that have terminated within the last three (3) years and provide a reason for termination (e.g. contract sunset/ended, terminated for cause, etc.).

Q61. On numerous required forms (reference Appendix A-Required Forms Exhibit 11 page 1 of 1) there is a section that states "solicitation for _____ services." What should we write in that section? For instance, should we be writing in "RFSQ #2019-010 or "As-Needed Substance Use Prevention?"

A61. As-Needed Substance Use Prevention Services.

Q62. Appendix A-Required Forms-Exhibit 16 – can you please provide more clarification on the entire document.

- A62. Pursuant to subsection 1.37, Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion – Lower Tier Covered Transactions (45 C.F.R. Part 76), subparagraph 1.37.1, pursuant to federal law, the County is prohibited from contracting with parties that are suspended, debarred, ineligible, or excluded or whose principals are suspended, debarred, ineligible, or excluded from securing federally funded contracts. Please refer to subsection 1.37 for further details.

Pursuant to RFSQ, Section 1.8, County Rights and Responsibilities, Addendum Number 2 has been made available on the Department of Public Health Contracts and Grants website at <http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/cg/index.htm> and on the County's website at <http://camisvr.co.la.ca.us/lacobids/BidLookUp/BidOpenStart.asp>.

Thank you for your interest in contracting with the County of Los Angeles. Except for the revisions contained in Addendum Number 1 and Addendum Number 2, there are no other revisions to this RFSQ. All terms and conditions of the RFSQ remain in full force and effect.

#04834